Inner-Sphere Electron-Transfer in the Reduction of Dioxalatodiaquoruthenium(III) by Ti(III)

RATHINDRA N. BOSE and JOSEPH E. EARLEY*

Department of Chemistry, Georgetown University, Washington, D.C. 20057, U.S.A.

Received August 25, 1983

Previously, we showed that Ti(III) reductions of Ru(III) oxidant involving certain classes of ligands follow outer-sphere reaction mechanisms [1], while Ti(III)-reduction of Ru(III) complexes containing other classes of ligands follow inner-sphere reactions that have either substitution [2] or electron-transfer [3] as the rate-determining process. We now report that $Ru(C_2O_4)_2(H_2O)_2^{--}$ is reduced by Ti³⁺ with a rate faster than any Ru(III)-Ti(III) process previously reported.

Apparatus and reagent were generally as previously [1-3]. Sodium diaquodioxalatoruthedescribed trihydrate: μ -Tetracetatodiruthenium nium(III) chloride (0.2 g) was dissolved in 250 ml of water containing 0.4 g of sodium oxalate. The solution was refluxed under a slow stream of oxygen for four hours. The resulting yellow solution was reduced to a volume of 20 mL and then passed through a Sephadex G10 column. A solid product separated out on cooling the eluate in an ice bath. The product was recovered by filtration and air-dried. Anal.: (% found/ % calculated for Na[Ru(C_2O_4)₂(H_2O)₂]·2H₂O): Ru, 26.1/25.9; C, 11.5/12.3; H, 2.4/2.6; C₂O₄²⁻, 44.6/ 45.2.

A similar procedure, starting with a solution of oxalic acid rather than one of sodium oxalate, produced the corresponding free acid. Anal.: (% found/% calculated for $H_3O[Ru(ox)_2(H_2C)_2] \cdot 2H_2$): Ru, 27.8/27.5; C, 12.2/13.1; H, 3.0/3.0; $C_2O_4^{2^-}$, 47.3/48.0.

In 1.0 *M* LiCl-0.1 *M* HCl, the UV-visible spectrum of the product showed peaks at ($\epsilon \times 10^{-2}$ cm *M*): 490(0.02), 360(120), 290(23) and 275(38) nm. Evans method gave a magnetic moment of 2.0 B.M.

and cyclical voltammetry gave a reduction potential of -0.35 volts vs. the Ag/AgCl electrode in 0.9 M LiCl-0.1 M HCl.

Addition of Ti(III) to solution of the Ru(III) complex caused a rapid change in spectrum. Spectrophotometric titration showed that 1.0 mol of Ru(III) was consumed per mol of Ti(III). The rate of the reaction was followed (1 M LiCl-HCl, 25 °C) at 425 nm using a computer linked Durrum stopped-flow spectrometer.

The measured second-order rate constant is four orders of magnitude larger than would be expected for Ti(III) reduction of an oxidant of this reduction potential *via* an outer-sphere reaction [1]. It is clear that this redox reaction involves the inner-sphere mechanism.

As in the case [2] of Ti(III) reduction of $Ru(NH_3)_5C_2O_4^+$ and of $Ru(NH_3)_4C_2O_4^+$, the second order rate constant was found to be independent of acid (0.008 $M < [H^+] < 0.012 M$). The value of that rate constant was, however, determined to be (4.0 \pm 0.1) \times 10⁴ M^{-1} s⁻¹, twice as large as in the prior cases. Substitution reactions of Ti³⁺ are generally held to have associative character. The negative value of the charge of the present oxidant would be expected to cause a more rapid rate of formation of the binuclear complex which is an intermediate in the inner sphere mechanism. Rates of substitution of mononegative ions on Ti^{3+} range from 10^{-4} to 10^{-6} M^{-1} s⁻¹, with HC₂O₄ being 4 × 10⁻⁵ M^{-1} s⁻¹. The overall rate of the redox reaction surely involves at least partial control by the substitution process, but the increase of rate on change of charge type is less than would be expected for full substitution rate control. Partial control of rate by the electrontransfer process cannot be ruled out.

References

- 1 J. E. Earley, R. N. Bose and B. Berrie, *Inorg. Chem.*, 22, 1836 (1983).
- 2 (a) A. Adegite, J. E. Earley and J. F. Ojo, *Inorg. Chem.*, 18, 1535 (1979). (b) R. A. Lee and J. E. Earley, *Ibid.*, 20, 1739 (1981). (c) R. N. Bose and J. E. Earley, *Ibid.*, 20, 2739 (1981). (d) O. Olubuyide and J. E. Earley, *Ibid.*, 20, 3569 (1981).
- 3 (a) B. Berrie and J. E. Earley, *Inorg. Chem.*, in press.
 (b) R. N. Bose and J. E. Earley, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Chem. Comm.*, 741 (1982).

^{*}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.